

Institute for Sustainable Futures

lsf.uts.edu.au

Creating a circular economy precinct

PREPARED FOR: Sydney Water

About the authors

The Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF) is an interdisciplinary research and consulting organisation at the University of Technology Sydney. ISF has been setting global benchmarks since 1997 in helping governments, organisations, businesses and communities achieve change towards sustainable futures.

We utilise a unique combination of skills and perspectives to offer long term sustainable solutions that protect and enhance the environment, human wellbeing and social equity.

For further information visit: www.isf.uts.edu.au

Research team

Dr. Melita Jazbec Senior Research Consultant

Andrea Turner Research Director

Citation

Please cite as: Jazbec, M., and Turner, A., 2018 Creating a circular economy precinct, report prepared by the Institute for Sustainable Futures, University of Technology Sydney, for Sydney Water



Institute for Sustainable Futures University of Technology Sydney PO Box 123 Broadway, NSW, 2007 www.isf.edu.au

Disclaimer The authors have used all due care and skill to ensure the material is accurate as at the date of this report, however, UTS and the authors do not accept any responsibility for any losses that may arise by anyone relying upon its contents. In particular, tariff rates and regimes may vary significantly from those used in this work and have a significant effect on the results.

© UTS November 2018

Contents

Introduction	1
This report	1
The Circular Economy	1
Policy shifts	3
Case studies	4
Summary	4
Case study 1 – Billund biorefinery, Denmark	6
Case study 2 – BioKymppi, Finland	7
Case study 3 – Palmerston North City Council, New Zealand	9
Case study 4 – Romerike (R)/ Drammen(D) – Oslo, Norway	10
Case study 5 – Zemka, Austria	11
Case study 6 – Semizentral Resource Recovery Centre, China	12
Case study 7 – Anyang Sewage Treatment Plant, Seoul, Korea	13
Case study 8 – Ulu Pandan Demonstration Plant, Singapore	14
Case study 9 – The Plant, Chicago, USA	15
Case study 10 – Amsterdam, The Netherlands	16
Discussion	18

Introduction

This report

This brief report has been prepared for Sydney Water (SW) by the Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF), University of Technology Sydney.

The report aims to assist SW with both internal and external dialogue on the potential of creating a circular economy precinct in Sydney including organics processing from wastewater and additional organics waste streams.

To assist in this dialogue, the report provides a selection of example case studies of where materials such as separated food waste, wastewater sludge or trade waste have been combined and treated with technology such as anaerobic digestion (AD) to create by-products for further use. Such by-products include for instance: biogas for hot water heating and electricity generation; and nutrient rich soil conditioner, created from the digestate, for agricultural application.

The example case studies, all international, have been generated from a review of available public literature and academic journal papers. A total of ten example case studies have been provided. There are many more similar examples available that are currently being developed incorporating the principles of the circular economy and including wastewater treatment components.

The Circular Economy

The term "circular economy" is rapidly gaining traction globally in business, waste policy and management practices such as in Europe¹ and within the water industry². The UK based Ellen Macarthur Foundation (EMF³), founded in 2010, have assisted in raising the profile of the concept, which has many definitions. According to the EMF it is defined as:

Looking beyond the current take-make-dispose extractive industrial model, a circular economy aims to redefine growth, focusing on positive society-wide benefits. It entails gradually decoupling economic activity from the consumption of finite resources, and designing waste out of the system. Underpinned by a transition to renewable energy sources, the circular model builds economic, natural, and social capital. It is based on three principles: design out waste and pollution; keep products and materials in use; and regenerate natural systems.

Figure 1 illustrates the circular economy concept. An overarching principle is to separate the "biological" and "technical" materials to assist in retaining the highest values of those materials.

Whilst the term "circular economy" is relatively new, it stems from key principles highlighted in well-established approaches such as Industrial Ecology, which organisations like the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH), through the Sustainability Advantage program, have used to work with their commercial partners for more than a decade⁴.

¹ <u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/index_en.htm</u> (accessed 14/11/18)

² http://www.iwa-network.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/IWA_Circular_Economy_screen.pdf (accessed 14/11/18)

³ <u>https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org</u> (accessed 14/11/18)

⁴ <u>https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/business/sustainabilityadvantage/140840-circular-economy-wme.pdf</u> (accessed



Figure 1 – Illustration of the circular economy (EMF)

Global Circular Economy Principles⁵

- Materials are incorporated into the economy in such a way that they can be cycled at continuous high value and are never dissipated into the environment in unrecoverable form.
- All energy is based on renewable sources.
- Biodiversity is structurally supported and enhanced through all human activities in a circular economy.
- Human society and culture are preserved through human activities.
- The health and wellbeing of humans and other species should be structurally supported through the activities of the economy.
- Human activities should generate value in measures beyond just financial. Material and energy are not currently available in infinite measure, so their use should be an intentional and meaningful contribution.

Circular City Principles⁵

- Optimise for geographically short material cycles.
- Optimise the time scale of material cycles for material demand.
- Match the quality of resource availability to the type of demand.
- Preserve complexity and diversity in social, ecological, and physical flows.

⁵ Gladek, E., Van Odijk, S., Theuws, P. and Herder, A., Circular Buiksloterham, Transitioning Amsterdam to a Circular City, Report. 2015.

- Balance overall material input and output of ecologically relevant flows.
- Focus on key impact reduction as a priority (e.g. health impacts in dense zones).

Barriers

- Laws and regulations: Policy often leads to unforeseen consequences in changing market conditions, e.g. legal definition of waste.
- **Culture**: As circular economy requires cooperation between sectors and chains, conservative culture and vested interests in sectors can be obstacles to forming efficient and successful cooperation.
- **Market**: Existing incentives, resource flows and quality, external pricing, financing of circular initiatives.
- **Technology**: Up scaling of pilots, complexity of bringing together independent technologies.

Policy can play an important role in removing these barriers.

Policy shifts

The incorporation of circular economy principles into Australian waste policy is currently gaining significant attention with two recent policy discussion papers, triggered by the China National Sword policy taking effect from early 2018⁶.

At the national level "Updating the 2009 National Waste Policy: Less waste, more resources"⁷ makes a commitment to update the National Waste Policy by the end of 2018 and move towards a circular economy. For organics it specifically identifies a target "to halve the volume of organic waste sent to landfill by 2030", an extension of the commitment made at the end of 2017 in the National Food Waste Strategy⁸ to "halve food waste by 2030."

At the state level the NSW EPA has recently closed the exhibition on their discussion paper on a circular economy approach in "*Too Good to Waste*"⁹ with the aim of leading towards modified policy and development of an implementation plan by 2020. The discussion paper specifically asks "*would you support zero food and garden waste to landfill*?" and identifies a series of potential actions such as: mandatory separation of food and garden organics for all householders; and mandatory separation and collection for all businesses that generate food waste over a certain amount.

In addition, the recently implemented temporary ban by NSW EPA¹⁰ of mixed organic material to agricultural land, forestation and mining rehabilitation is setting a different set of challenges and prompting for new solutions. The ban does not currently apply to compost or biosolids.

These policy shifts in how food and broader organic waste might be managed in Sydney going into the future open a significant window of opportunity for SW, as the city water authority, to take a collaborative role in making such "wastes" valuable "resources". Such activities can be enacted through a combination of augmentation of existing SW assets and/or development of new facilities. That is, creating potential engines for organic circular economy precincts with existing and new infrastructure.

⁹ <u>https://engage.environment.nsw.gov.au/circular</u> (accessed 14/11/18)

 ⁶ <u>https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/your-environment/recycling-and-reuse/response-to-china-national-sword</u> (accessed 14/11/18)
 ⁷ <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/protection/national-waste-policy/consultation-on-updating-national-waste-policy (accessed</u>)

<sup>14/11/18)
&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/4683826b-5d9f-4e65-9344-a900060915b1/files/national-food-wastestrategy.pdf (accessed 14/11/18)

¹⁰ <u>https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/your-environment/recycling-and-reuse/resource-recovery-framework/mixed-waste-organic-material-is-no-longer-in-use</u> (accessed 28/11/18)

Case studies

Summary

The international case study examples provided have been obtained from publicly available information and academic articles. They have been chosen to provide an illustration of a spectrum of circular economy precinct applications actually in operation and one with ambitious vision. Case studies have been chosen based on the following criteria:

- Geographical spread.
- Diversity of size of operation from neighbourhood to city and even regional scale.
- Length of operation.
- Diversity of input and output materials (most of the input materials to the systems include food waste and either wastewater or wastewater sludge).
- Spread of output material applications.
- Diversity of treatment technologies included (however most of them include some form of anaerobic digestion).
- Diversity of business models and partners.

Figure 2 shows the locations of the case study examples and Table 1 a summary of the case studies and their key characteristics



Figure 2 – Case study map

Case study	Name	Location	Scale (t/a)	Cost (\$AUS)	Input				C	Dutpu	t			
				(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Wastewater	Food waste	Industrial waste	Agriculture waste	Green waste	Biogas - electricity	Biogas - heat	Biogas transport	Fertiliser	Other products
1	Billund biorefinery	Denmark	4,200t/a	17 mil	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		*	×
2	BioKymppi	Finland	19,000t/a	11 mil	1	~	✓	~		✓	~		✓	
3	Palmerston North City Council	New Zealand	12.9 bil. l	1.5 mil	*		~			*				
4	Romerike/ Drammen - Oslo	Norway	50,000t/a 6,000t/a	-	*	1	~					~	1	
5	Zemka	Austria	18,000t/a	18.6 mil	~	~	1	1		✓	✓			
6	Semizentral RRC	China	12,500 PE	_	*	1				*	*		*	
7	Anyang Sewage Treatment Plant	Korea	27,000t/a	395 mil	*	~				*	1		•	
8	Ulu Pandan	Singapore	12,500m ³ /d	_	1	~				✓				
9	The Plant, Chicago	USA		-	1	1		*	*	•			~	
10	Amsterdam	The Netherlands		-	1	*	*	1	*	1	1	*	1	*

1 Billund BioRefinery – "wastewater treatment plant of the future" Location Denmark Cost Total budget US \$12 mil. (17 mil AUS\$) Established 2013, new construction Pop'/Capacity 70,000 PE; 4,200 t/a Input Output organic and green household waste, • • clean water, organic waste from restaurants and biogas (electricity, heat, fuel cells, biofuel), • catering CO₂ (algae production), • organic waste from dairies, • • organic fertiliser. slaughterhouses and shops, • knowledge - visitor centre manure from farms. To be expanded to phosphorus and bioplastics, protein production fallen stock, other material from farming industry Performance Technology/Treatment 30 employees, Exelys[™] (Continuous thermal hydrolysis); DKK 96 mil. (20 mil AUS \$) turnover in STAR Utility Solutions® (optimised process control) • 2016. • ANITA[™]Mox (MBBR-moving bed biofilm reactor) BioPasueur[™] technology (heating of sludge) . Hydrotech[™] Discfilter Details - Billund biorefinery is more than just a wastewater treatment plant. It provides an ecological link in the cycle between society and nature and provides an improved water treatment facility with a lower energy consumption. The process is 50% more efficient than a conventional AD facility and generates 14 mil kWh of energy/year (equivalent to powering 1,700 homes). Also, nitrogen and phosphorus content in the produced fertiliser are increased by 18% and the absolute amounts of xenobiotics is decreased by 30% compared to conventional AD. Generated energy (heat) is used for its own consumption and the excess heat is dispatched to the public district central heating system, local customers (industry and farmers), or used for fuel cells and biofuel. Generated biogas can be used for the production of biodegradable bioplastics, protein production, storage of CO₂ in algae production (algae which is used to capture CO₂ can be subsequently harvested and utilised). The biorefinery is open to visitors, thus providing background knowledge and exporting technology, which has resulted in two contracts for plants with South Korea. This is an example of a good functioning public-private partnership where joint targets were clearly defined and have contributed to the success of the project. With the total budget of 17 mil. AUS\$ the plant was constructed with financial support from the Ministry of the Environment and the Foundation for Development of Technology in the Danish Water Sector. established waste sorting-at-the-source, Drivers desire for bio gasification of household food waste need for highly-efficient and odourless fertiliser • ambition to be world leader of green solutions with potential to export the technology • ecological link in the cycle between society and nature • Environmental lower strain on the environment from transportation • Impact discharge of nutrients decreased by 60% • reduced emissions of 12 t of pure nitrogen • Billund Vand (bio gasification of organic waste from domestic households and industries) • Partners/ Environmental company (Kruger A/S) - advanced water treatment within drinking water, process • Cooperation water, municipal and industrial wastewater, sludge, sewerage engineering, soil and groundwater, sophisticated control for wastewater treatment plants http://www.billundbiorefinery.dk/en/ Sources

Case study 1 – Billund biorefinery, Denmark

2	BioKymppi – "g	eneration of safe fer	rtilisers for farms"
Location	Finland	Cost	Investment: 7 mil EUR (11 mil AUS\$)
Established	2010, new construction	Pop'/Capacity	110,000 PE; 15,000 to 19,000 t/a
Bio10	PROCESS		of y's biogas plant in Kitee, Finland. Photo: Nikon Kuvauspalvelu
Input household bio packed biowa side streams sewage, waste cookin fish, manure	aste, from food industry,	Output • biogas (heat • liquid fertilise • solid fertilise Plan for biometha	r
 Performance turnover 1.5 n employees Heat product households) Electricity pro- houses) 	mil EUR/a (2.3 mil AUS\$); 5 ion 8,000 MWh/a (about 1,000 oduction 2,000 MWh/a (300-500) ha of land uses the digestate from	illustrated ab (1) sewage s (2) fertilizer p	vet digestion; two lines of operation (as bove):
Details – The biog provision of the pla heat and power pr pipeline to a near fertiliser for organi to 1500 ha of land organic fertilisers sludge and other r biogas to vehicle g	ant's end products. The gas from the roduction. Although the plant has the poly heat production plant to heat the ic farming and household gardens. I. The plant operates with two processuch as manure and separately color materials, which are not accepted for grade biomethane, but at the mome ions) is not fully developed in North biogas.)	he plant is used toget the CHP plant on the s district. The separate The digested sewage ess lines. One line is f llected bio-waste and or organic fertilisers. I ent the market (vehicl in Karelia. (Gasum ann	nies for the collection of raw materials and her with the landfill gas collected nearby for the site, some of the gas is distributed via a gas ed digestate is used as a liquid and solid e sludge is also used for farming, covering 1000 for raw materials, which are accepted for the other line is for municipal sewage treatment BioKymppi is aiming to start processing their les using biogas, gas distribution infrastructure nounced opening of 10 new stations by the end

Case study 2 - BioKymppi, Finland

Environmental Impact	first biogas plant in Finland that produces fertiliser accepted for organic farming (separate stream)
Partners/ Cooperation	 local farms, Doranova, Oulun Energia Finish Environmental Institute Food industry companies providing organic waste Restaurants providing waste fat Supermarkets providing packaged biowaste Fish companies
Sources	http://www.bio10.fi/etusivu/ https://www.biogaschannel.com/en/video/biomethane/7/ten-biogas-filling-stations-finland-end- 2018/1440/ Fagerstroim, A., Al Seadi, T., Rasi, S., Briseid, T, (2018). The role of Anaerobic Digestion and Biogas in the Circular Economy. Murphy, J.D. (Ed.) IEA Bioenergy Task 37, 2018: 8, p.15.

Case study 3 – Palmerston North City Council, New Zealand

3	Palmerston North City Co	ouncil (PNCC) – "hi	igh rate co-digestion"		
Location	New Zealand	Cost	Investment US\$1.06mil (1.5 mil AUS\$); simple payback 3.3 years determined based on savings of gate fees (US\$21/t trade waste) (29AUS\$/t)		
Established	2012-2014, upgrade	Pop'/Capacity	75,000 PE; 12.9 bil. liters wastewater		
	Ester with mixing system for co-digestion of primary paywaste, and dairy factory DAF sludge.	(b) Recuperative t	hickening system – tripling biogas production		
FOG (fats,	and municipal trade waste with high oils and grease) - (5-10%), ry DAF sludge,	Output • biogas			
 Performance Potential for 330 days/a 	or production of up to 10 mil kWh gas in a.	 Technology/Treatment high rate co-digestion; mesophilic sludge digesters retrofitted with recuperative sludge thickening 			
an existing mini biogas to gener recuperative slu is used to repla FOG/m ³ digeste	 hydro and wind electricity generators, the ate electricity. By upgrading the existing udge thickening to achieve digester stabilities the ender of t	rgy needs from loca e renewable energy mesophilic sludge d ity has tripled the bio tricity exported into t oductivity in m ³ bioga with municipal sludg v sources for the PN urces	Ily available energy resources. In addition to target is met by utilising landfill gas and igesters (2x1350m ³) by retrofitting them with ogas production capacity of the plant. Biogas the grid. A stable loading rate of 1.5kg as/m ³ digester/day was in excess of 320% of		
Environment al Impact	Saved energy 5 1mil k///h at natural das over 330 operation days/a				
Partners	• n/a				
Sources	Pistacchi, A., Going greener with Palmerston No Government, June 2010. McCabe, B. K., Schmidt, T., (2018). Integrated b		to energy project, Waste and water, New Zealand Local J.D. (Ed.) IEA Bioenergy Task 37, 2018: 5, p.21.		

4	Oslo – "biogas p	owering buses an	nd waste trucks"	
Location	Norway	Cost	unavailable	
Established	2012	Pop'/Capacity	 (R): Capacity 50,000t/a of biological substances; (D): 6,000t/a of sludge, 16GWh/a 	
 Input solid and liquid o sludge, grease a 	0	 Output Raw biogas (60%CH₄ and 40%CO₂), Pure CH₄ (99%) – fuel for buses and trucks Fertilisers: liquid fertiliser, bioconcentrate, solid organic material Knowledge and technology 		
 Performance 4 mil I diesel fue 7.8 mil AUS\$) 	l (3.3 - 4.4 mil pounds/a) (5.8 –	 Technology/Treatment Thermal hydrolysis: 2 bioreactors (3,200m³), at 38^oC, retention time 24 days CH₄ purifier 		
citizens, farmers and further purified to 99% Oslo. Biogas plants a are used by farmers t 24 days followed by 0 these plants has been steam up to a high te	public transport company. The bio 6 CH4, cooled to -162°C and store lso produce fertilisers: liquid fertil to produce food. Thermal hydrolys CH4 purification. Cambi technolog in exported to Korea (See Case St mperature (between 130 and 210	waste is transforme ogas plants produce ad at 2 bars to be us lizer, bioconcentrate sis occurs in 2 biore y (steam-based pre udy 7). The method ^o C) and then releas	d into secondary raw materials engaging e raw biogas (60%CH ₄ and 40%CO ₂), which is sed as fuel for public buses and waste trucks in e and solid organic material. The biofertilizers actors (3,200m ³) at 38°C with a retention time of -treatment of the feedstock) that is used in d involves heating raw material with pressured ing the pressure rapidly. The steam explosion and enzymes to more easily degrade the input	
Drivers	 use of 64% of food waste that is not source separated and remains in the residual waste in Oslo power source for buses and waste trucks supply of fertilisers for the agricultural land surrounding the plant. CO₂ emissions and energy supply security 			
Environmental Impact	Plant located in agricultura	l area removing the	need to transport fertiliser to the customers.	
Partners	Cambi			
Sources	Full Circle (2017), Cities and the circula	r economy, Euro Cities,	p.24.	

Case study 5 – Zemka, Austria

5	ZEMKA –	"Highest substrate fle	xibility"			
Location	Austria	Cost	11.9 mil euro (18.6 mil AUS\$)			
Established 2013, new on an old site		Pop'/Capacity	18,000 t/a of organic residues (limited by environmental permit);			
Re-	11- Partin	Streams with high content of impurit	er Streams free from impurities			
		Biowaste Food				
Fig .1: Aerial View of AD Pla	nt ZEMKA		Suspension Buffer			
			eption and pre-treatment lines at the AD Plant ZEMKA			
Input • biowaste (8,000t/a) • food waste (2,500t/a) • sewage sludge (4,500t/a) • liquid waste (1,000t/a) • content of fat separators (2,000t/a)		Output biogas heat 				
PerformanceEnergy yield		 Wet Anaerobi 4,000m³) BTA[®] hydro-n 	 Technology/Treatment Wet Anaerobic Digestion (250m³/h, digestor volume: 4,000m³) BTA[®] hydro-mechanical pre-treatment, treatment of biogas for long distance transport 			
old MBT plant site and pre-treatment AD step. For the v (more than 2km di with oxygen dosing well as seasonally 9% variability). The	for bio-waste and municipal solid w lines were designed (Fig.2 above). alorisation concept two paths had to stance) and the upgrade of the surp g and a three-step condensation co . Despite strong fluctuations in the a e plant addresses the value chain a and securing stable disposal costs	lexibility with intelligent vaste (MSW). To guarar The streams containing b be considered, conve blus biogas. Biogas is p oling gas to -5°C. Raw amounts of the different t municipal level by stree for the local population	biogas valorisation was constructed on an tee maximum flexibility, different reception g impurities need to be treated before the wet rsion to heat for the thermal bath Tauern SPA urified by external biological desulphurisation material composition fluctuates weekly as streams, the production of CH ₄ is stable (+/- engthening regional infrastructure, and industry.			
Drivers	 transport of sewage and food waste to other Austrian states valorisation option for all the regional waste streams 					
Environmental Impact	 Renewable electricity or heat supply Saving on 3,000 t CO₂/a emissions. 					
Partners/ Cooperation	 Kommunalkredit Public Co Province of Salzburg. 					
Sources	European Biogas Association (EBA), Good Practices and Innovation in the Biogas Industry, Success Stories of the Members of the EBA, January 2018, p.16.					

6	Semizentral RR	RC – "bringing the te	chnologies together"	
Location	Qingdao, Eastern China	Cost	not available	
Established	2014, new construction	Pop'/Capacity	12,500 people (residential houses, hotels, offices, canteens, guest houses, village of Shi Yuan)	
			Dusing Area	
Input • food waste • wastewater		Output electricity heat soil conditione non-potable w solution model 	ater	
PerformanceNot available				
Within 2 years of op demand from the m operation and the e fields as soil conditi bringing the sectors government minister usually combined. A the cost of pipeline The success of RR	beration 100% of generated was nunicipal supply (toilet flushing a excess is exported to the grid. T ioner. Individual technologies u is together in one integrated oper ers and building the operator ca While there are advantages in s and heat losses exceed the sa C with an integrated approach re sludge is a rising threat to Ch	stewater was reused, and landscape irrigation he residual digestate sed in biorefinery are eration. The challenge spacity and skills to ma scaling up in optimisin vings. RRC found that could be a model for p nina's environment.	urban sewage and food waste was constructed. leading to 40% reduction in drinking water on). Generated biogas is used for self-sufficient is collected by farmers and applied to their crop all well established. The real innovation was as were also getting buy-in approval across severa anage a wide range of technologies that are not g capital expenditure and reducing planning costs t a population of 100,000 is considered optimum. provision of water, energy and waste services in	
Drivers	 untreated sludge dispo energy required to dry heavy metal contamination 	increasing production of sludge (e.g. 35 mil. t of sludge in 2015, 16% increase in a year) untreated sludge disposal into environment energy required to dry and burn toilet waste as alternative to disposal to landfill heavy metal contamination of compost		
Environmental Impact	100% of the wastewate for municipal supply			
Partners/ Cooperation	 Semizentral Germany Chair of Wastewater Technology of the institute IWAR at Technische Universitat Darmstadt German industry partners Scientific partners in Germany and China 			
	 Scientific partners in G 	Germany and China		

Case study 6 – Semizentral Resource Recovery Centre, China

7	Anyang Sewage	e Treatment Plant –	"underground biorefinery"		
Location	Seoul, Korea	Cost	Construction project value 321.8 billion won (395 mil. AUS\$)		
Established	2016, new construction	Pop'/Capacity	700,000 people; 84 dry t/day (27,000 t/a organic waste): 300,000 t/d wastewater		
 Capacity: 250,0 Method: CSBR 			Indep. rate : 70% Indep. rate : 70% <t< th=""></t<>		
Input • sewage (65° • food waste (• small water	-	0	Image: Second system Image: Second system Image: Second		
Performance	n/a generated electricity (3,000		echnology/ Treatment		
Details – The pla nearby residents Chimney used to along grass gard above the ground the plant will be s pathogen free du	ant was constructed undergroun because of odours. The land of purify the odour was redesigned ens and urban forests. The new d due to extra lights, more soph self-sufficient through productio e to pre-treatment in the Camb	nd due to strong opp covering the facility (ed into observatory a w facility requires trip nisticated purification n of biogas and will iTHP™ process and	osition towards the sewage treatment facilities by 180,000m ²) was transformed into a park for residents. and a range of sporting facilities will be built in the park le the amount of energy compared to the former plant and emission processes. However, it is expected that be generating excess electricity. The solids are I can be applied to land as a back-up to the co-firing Best Practices on Resource Recovery from Water		
Drivers	Drivers odour from wastewater treatment plant minimising distance from the collection points				
Environmental Impact	Environmental • "Positive Impact Development tool" monitoring overall achievement				
Partners/ Cooperation	 CambiTHP™ POSCO Engineering Korea Environment City of Anyang 	Corporation (K-eco)			
Sources <u>http://www.iwa-network.org/news/transforming-sewage-into-valuable-resources-in-korea/</u> http://english.donga.com/List/3/04/26/862162/1					

Case study 7 – Anyang Sewage Treatment Plant, Seoul, Korea



Case study 8 – Ulu Pandan Demonstration Plant, Singapore



Case study 9 - The Plant, Chicago, USA

Case study 10 – Amsterdam, The Netherlands

10	Amsterdam – "vision of a circular economy city"				
Location	Buiksloterham, Amsterdam, The Netherlands	Cost	Not available		
Established	2014	Pop'/Cap acity	252 PE (projected to increase to 6,300 PE)		
 Input all wastewater (source separated) organic waste from kitchen macerators separated urine green waste 		kitcherstruvite	(from blackwater from vacuum toilets and n waste from macerators) e (fertilizer) and heat from greywater en, phosphate and drug waste		

Performance

Positive General Progress Indicator (GPI) score for region



Details – The vision of circular, biobased and smart neighbourhood Buiksloterham has been developed based on analysis, modelling and stakeholder consultations who created a vision, defined intervention for transition and Action Plan for transformation. The Action Plan provides a framework for a longer-term transformation strategy, including further research and piloting, and key immediate steps. Buikslotherham can serve as a blueprint and live experiment for formerly peripheral areas worldwide and can be transformed into a motor for change and regeneration in cities. The systematic interventions include designation of the neighbourhood to a Living Lab status, development of inclusive governance and management structure, creation of new incentive structures and financial vehicles, building capacity for urban sensing and

open data and implementation of a Circular Neighbourhood Action Plan. Technical interventions focus on local renewable energy production, natural water management (free storm-water-sewer through rainwater management and nutrient and resources recovery), soil remediation, smart mobility, and local material cycling (source separation programs and circular building principles). The circular building principles include design and building of flexible infrastructure capacity in buildings and underground with connection options allowing future expansion. It includes sewer lines for different qualities of water: grey, yellow and brown water, allowing natural and above-ground water management techniques. Infrastructure flexibility is expanded for electricity (DC and AC) and heating.

Vision of the neighbourhood for 2034 is for a hub for innovation and green industry with zero-emission mobility, circular buildings (materials with digital passport for easy identification, valuation and refurbishment) and zero-waste neighbourhood (closed loops for all material flows – near 100% recycling, minimal packaging, recovery of nutrients from organic waste, building designed for material recovery) that was achieved with utilization of Circular Building Standards and an effective waste management strategy. Instrumental in closing the local material cycle is the biorefinery (recovery of nutrients in locally generated wastewater and organic wastes, that are locally reused in a rooftop-based urban farm).

Technology/ Treatment	 Sink macerators grind up food waste and other organic material flows created in the kitchen. Organic waste is transported through the regular sewer system to a decentralised biorefinery, enabling circular use of wastewater and organic material at the same time. Rainwater harvesting Infrastructure for urine separation and collection enabling capture of 90% nutrients (urine is 1% of wastewater stream but contains 85% of nitrogen, 50% of phosphate in municipal wastewater), reduces energy cost of wastewater treatment and size of aeration tanks Decentralised biorefinery (production of clean water, nutrients, energy and high value products, e.g. biomonomers for chemicals, coatings, adhesives, foams). Hydrogen fuel cell powered by urine
Drivers	 Based on urban metabolism scan (flows of water, energy, materials; and stakeholders involved) Incentive structure (subsidy schemes, tax incentives, incentives for social participation, e.g. students receive free housing in exchange for 40 h/month civil service work with at risk youth) Circular Economy Business Incubator development Policy interventions Opportunity due to post-industrial features (polluted land - 15% in Buiksloterham - and open space)
Environment al Impact	 neighbourhoods, an engine for the broader transition of Amsterdam Reduce the consumption of resources (estimated 25% decrease in overall material demand by 2034) 100% material recovery in buildings; 99% waste recovered, 1% incinerated Energy demand reduced by 75%, energy distribution system losses reduced by 30%, energy produced locally Decrease GHG emissions (self-sufficient energy from 100% RE sources) 100% recovered water from wastewater, domestic and commercial water, demand reduced by 25%
Partners/ Cooperation	 De Alliantie, a housing corporation active in the area Waternet, the local water utility Grond & Ontwikkeling, Development agency of the Municipality of Amsterdam Active companies in the area (Metabolic, DELVA Landscape Architects, Studioninedots, New Energy Docks, Amsterdam Smart City and Frank Alsema)
Sources	Gladek, E., Van Odijk, S., Theuws, P. and Herder, A., Circular Buiksloterham, Transitioning Amsterdam to a Circular City, Report. 2015.

Discussion

The case study examples provided here include a broad geographical selection of circular economy precincts currently operating around the world. There are many more systems in operation, and with the circular economy rapidly gaining traction, many more in the process of being planned at various scales.

The range of circularity applied in the current transition phase from linear to circular economy varies enormously and so does the starting point of the circular economy base across the sectors. While in a fully developed circular economy precinct, wastewater plants are a default component, some precincts might evolve from a different base.

Such new, not yet operational, precincts will likely encapsulate more advanced forms of circular economy principles as technology advances and new "value chains" emerge such as plastics production and high value chemical extraction¹¹.

From the examples gathered key driving forces behind the implementation of the case study examples have been policy (i.e. the 1999 European Landfill Directive through to the more recent 2018 Circular Economy Package and its lead up¹²), private business opportunities, ambition to develop green technologies, generation of renewable resources and dealing with consequences of excessive waste released to the environment.

As the selected examples focus on the wastewater treatment component, often the existing wastewater treatment infrastructure has been a starting point, or engine, upon which to build the precincts, as the infrastructure costs for such plants are relatively high.

Virtually all the examples purposely chosen have centred around the combined treatment (using some form of AD) of wastewater/sludge and food waste. From these examples it is clear that such treatment needs to commonly be augmented with: pre-treatment processes (i.e. for removal of contaminants or pre- digestion or maceration), modification of the AD system, and parallel or complimentary systems to treat other materials captured to take full advantage of the input materials and increase the "value chain". Also, the infrastructure is often modified to add value or applicability of the generated product, e.g. purification of biogas for application as a fuel.

Many of the examples have taken advantage of the context of, for example, proximity of industrial/commercial customers or the co-location of businesses, providing immediate savings on transport. What is emerging as a common feature of the examples is that they all focus on local opportunities and provide a tailored specific solution, where the collaboration between communities, public and private sectors has been vital.

The concept of circular economy is complemented by the development goals of biobased and smart cities. Smart cities maximise social and environmental capital in the competitiveness of urban areas using modern infrastructure, highly efficient resource management and active citizen participation. Looking at closing the loops, the more costly it is to move a flow (losses, expense around transport) and the more spatially ubiquitous that flow is (e.g. energy and water in form of sunlight and rain), the higher the priority for that flow locally. The next priority targets local material cycle closure and fast cycling of high-volume material streams like food waste and other local organic wastes from which nutrients can be recovered. The more complex or scarce a material, the less priority there is on closing that material cycle locally.¹³ The design approach of circular precincts therefore aims to reduce the volumes of local flows (demand-side management), find local supply synergies (heat cascades, material cascades) and supply of local flows in a renewable fashion. Approaching the transformation requires systematic (process-orientated) and technical interventions.

¹¹ <u>http://www.ieabioenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/Task-42-Biobased-Chemicals-value-added-products-from-biorefineries.pdf</u> (accessed 15/11/18)

¹² <u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/index_en.htm</u> (accessed 15/11/18)

¹³ Gladek, E., Van Odijk, S., Theuws, P. and Herder, A., Circular Buiksloterham, Transitioning Amsterdam to a Circular City, Report. 2015.

There are many potential hurdles and barriers as Sydney looks to potentially planning, designing, implementing and operating a circular economy precinct/s including AD technology¹⁴ from political, environmental, social and technical to regulatory and economic issues. Many lessons can be learned from these existing case studies not encapsulated here as part of this review that will be of value. And there are many lessons to be learnt from the emerging precincts that can take advantage of new technologies and value chains. As food waste is more commonly combined with wastewater processes particular opportunities will emerge such as the increased opportunity to capture phosphorus, cellulose and particular chemicals through to opportunities to be identified.

There is a major shift in federal and NSW waste policy which is moving towards enacting circular economy principles and halving or even banning some forms of food waste or broader organics waste streams to landfill. This will have major ramifications across the waste industry, and specifically in Sydney, which currently has a significant gap in large scale processing capacity of waste streams containing organic materials¹⁵. With the policy shift and current infrastructure gap there is a significant opportunity to potentially use existing utility and resource recovery facilities assets as the engine for new circular economy precincts. In so doing capturing the vast potential resources available within the Sydney basin including recycled water, biogas to generate green power, as a fuel source, for local heating and/or cooling, production of fertilizers and the creation of new bioproducts such as bioplastics. As the technology advances the extent of organic products that could be made will expand and their application and synergies. For example, in addition to application of recovered nutrients as a fertiliser, they could be used in food production or feedstock for feeding insects that are used as food source. Currently, algae can be used to capture CO₂ to purify the generated biogas and then the algae are used as a feedstock. In future scenarios, there are opportunities for further similar synergies when a problem is addressed with the solution that has a further beneficial application.

¹⁴ https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032117300771 (accessed 15/11/18)

¹⁵ https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/-/media/epa/corporate-site/resources/wastestrategy/epa-waste-and-resource-recovery-infrastructurestrategy-epa2017p0169.pdf?la=en&hash=58087743D18F89DD199C4CD62EF2373A46436F7C (accessed 14/11/18)